Once Europeans had figured out how to be effective middlemen — buying and selling silver, tea, and fur, they turned to figuring out how to also become producers of the commodities they were trading.
We know that they were successful growing tobacco and sugar in the southern United States and in the West Indies. Growing and tending to these types of crops required labor. The most obvious source of labor was the indigenous Americans. But remember that between 55 percent and 95 percent of the native population had died as the result of diseases brought by Europeans beginning in the late fifteenth century (the dark side of the Columbian Exchange). So Europeans turned to Africa. Why Africa and not Europe? Why couldn’t the plantations in the Americas and the Caribbean be populated by Europeans?

To answer these questions, I turned to historian David Eltis at Emory University. Here’s how he answers these questions in his A Brief Overview of the Trans-Atlantic Slave Trade:

*What can explain this extraordinary migration, organized initially on a continent where the institution of slavery had declined or totally disappeared in the centuries prior to Columbian contact, and where, even when it had existed, slavery had never been confined to one group of people? To pose the question differently, why slavery, and why were the slaves carried across the Atlantic exclusively African? The short answer to the first of these two questions is that European expansion to the Americas was to mainly tropical and semi-tropical areas. Several products that were either unknown to Europeans (like tobacco), or occupied a luxury niche in pre-expansion European tastes (like gold or sugar), now fell within the capacity of Europeans to produce more abundantly. But while Europeans could control the production of such exotic goods, it became apparent in the first two centuries after Columbian contact that they chose not to supply the labor that would make such output possible. Free European migrants and indentured servants never traveled across the Atlantic in sufficient numbers to meet the labor needs of expanding plantations. Convicts and prisoners — the only Europeans who were ever forced to migrate — were much fewer in numbers again. Slavery or some form of coerced labor was the only possible option if European consumers were to gain access to more tropical produce and precious metals. ("A Brief Overview")*

Here, Eltis is saying that the crops Europeans wanted — sugar, tobacco, indigo — grew well in the tropical regions of the North American south, the Caribbean Islands, and parts of South America. This was great, since these are the areas where Europeans landed and attempted to settle. But these were crops that Europeans were unfamiliar with and did not know how to grow. Eltis is also saying that there were not enough Europeans crossing the Atlantic — free or unfree — to staff these plantations.

The Atlantic slave trade began when the Portuguese turned to Africa and brought over the first enslaved Africans to farm crops in Brazil in 1519. It continued into the early nineteenth century, with the European countries each outlawing the slave trade at different times in response to outrage from their people at home. The chart below shows the volume of the trade at different points in time. It shows the "region of disembarkation," which means the place where the slaves ended up — if they survived the journey.

Overall, this table shows that about 2,700,000 enslaved Africans survived the middle passage and were brought to the New World between 1519 and 1800. These figures come from a database project that gathered demographic information from ports in Africa, Europe, and the Americas and compiled it all in one place. Remember the Spanish who insisted on keeping records of everything that came and went through their ports? This is why we now have this data. So what does it tell us? For one, the largest number of slaves went to one of the smallest places: the island of St. Domingue, or modern-day Haiti and the Dominican Republic. I know that this island was one of the major producers of sugar in the world. I also know that though the Africans had developed a resistance to European diseases (unlike the indigenous Americans), many died of tropical diseases in the Caribbean. After surviving enslavement in Africa and the miserable middle passage, thousands died from diseases and lack of medical care in the islands. I also know that the working and living conditions for slaves in the Caribbean islands were horrible. They were subject to long work hours in the heat, not enough food, beatings, and ongoing cruelty from their Portuguese and Spanish overseers. It’s no wonder that one of the first colonial revolutions of the eighteenth century started in Haiti. But more on that later.

This data also tells me that the Spanish and Portuguese imported hundreds of thousands of slaves to Brazil and the Spanish-American mainland between 1519 and 1650, and then stopped importing such vast numbers of slaves for the remainder of the slave trade era. This tells me that the initial thousands survived — unlike their peers who ended up in the Caribbean. It also tells me that Africans have been in South America for almost 500 years. Later, we’ll look at how different European powers approached race, slavery, and participation in mainstream society.

Given the length and severity of American slavery, I’m surprised that the British imported fewer slaves than the Spanish and the Portuguese. Perhaps my surprise comes from knowing that the institution of slavery developed alongside the ideas that resulted in the American Revolution (1775 — 1783). But, as historian Winthrop Jordan argues, the English had long-standing beliefs about race and color that enabled them to engage in
### Volume of Trans-Atlantic Slave Trade by Region of Disembarkation
1519 to 1800

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>British Mainland/ North America</th>
<th>Barbados</th>
<th>Guianas</th>
<th>French Windwards</th>
<th>St. Domingue</th>
<th>Spanish-American Mainland (incl. Brazil)</th>
<th>Dutch Caribbean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1519 to 1600</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>25,400</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>151,600</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1601 to 1650</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>63,200</td>
<td>8,200</td>
<td>187,700</td>
<td>38,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1651 to 1675</td>
<td>9,800</td>
<td>82,300</td>
<td>16,600</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1701 to 1725</td>
<td>37,400</td>
<td>91,800</td>
<td>44,500</td>
<td>30,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1726 to 1750</td>
<td>96,800</td>
<td>73,600</td>
<td>12,700</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1751 to 1775</td>
<td>166,900</td>
<td>120,900</td>
<td>111,900</td>
<td>15,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1776 to 1800</td>
<td>24,400</td>
<td>71,200</td>
<td>41,200</td>
<td>10,200</td>
<td>6,900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>337,600</td>
<td>422,500</td>
<td>382,100</td>
<td>228,600</td>
<td>794,000</td>
<td>404,200</td>
<td>129,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

enslavement while also talking about freedom in ways that the Spanish and Portuguese did not. In his classic text, *White Over Black*, Jordan argues:

*In England perhaps more than in southern Europe, the concept of blackness was loaded with intense meaning. Long before they found that some men were black, Englishmen found in the idea of blackness a way of expressing some of their most ingrained values. No other color except white conveyed so much emotional impact. As described by the Oxford English Dictionary, the meaning of black before the sixteenth century included, “Deeply stained with dirt; soiled, dirty, foul...Having dark or deadly purposes, malignant; pertaining to or involving death, deadly; baneful, disastrous, sinister... Foul, iniquitous, atrocious, horrible, wicked...Indicating disgrace, censure, liability to punishment, etc.” Black was an emotionally partisan color, the handmaid and symbol of baseness and evil, a sign of danger and repulsion...*

*The impact of the Negro’s color was the more powerful upon Englishmen, moreover, because England’s principal contact with Africans came in West Africa and the Congo where men were not merely dark but almost literally black: one of the fairest-skinned nations suddenly came face to face with one of the darkest peoples on Earth.* (6 — 7)

While the enslavement of Africans may have begun as an economic undertaking, it was sustained through racist ideology for over 200 years. The Oxford English Dictionary, mentioned above, is the gold standard of the English language. For hundreds of years, this source has provided all of the known uses of each word in the English language at different points in time. What Jordan seems to be arguing above is that the idea that black was evil was well developed in English thought as early as 1550, even before the English began any sort of trade with Africans from the west coast and southern Africa. When they finally met people whose skin color they described as black in their traveler’s journals, the term “black” was much more than just a color.

The vast trade network throughout the Atlantic region of slaves, raw materials, and goods flourished and fueled the development of colonial settlements and the wealth of European nations. To maximize their “investment,” slave traders developed a sort of science around transporting enslaved Africans to the Americas. This is captured in the drawing to the right.

*The Brig “Vigilante” was a French slaver captured in the River Bonny, at the Bight of Biafra, on April 15th, 1822. She departed from Nantes, in France, and carried 345 slaves from the coast of Africa, but she was intercepted by anti-slave trade cruisers before sailing to the Americas and taken to Freetown, Sierra Leone. The English had no rights to detain vessels under the French flag, and the cruiser had approached the “Vigilante” only in order to verify its papers. The French captain, fearing detention, opened fire killing several of the cruiser’s crew. It was only then that the “Vigilante” was taken captive and escorted to first Sierra Leone where the slaves were disembarked, and then to Plymouth, England, where the English captain hoped to lay murder charges. Abolitionists drew the vessel while it was in Plymouth. In the event, the vessel and crew were released without charges. The image is of a plan of the “Vigilante”, showing the slave decks and the instruments used to chain.*
the slaves. This plan was published as a fold out in a pamphlet printed first in London and then Paris and other places in 1823. The image is reproduced courtesy of the John Carter Brown Library at Brown University. (“Plan of the Slaver 'Vigilante’”)

This image and the text above us tell me that by the early nineteenth century, the business of transporting slaves across the Atlantic had become a horrifying science. The images themselves show how slave traders planned to pack in as many human bodies as possible lying down, alongside pictures of instruments used to restrain the slaves during the journey. The journey typically lasted anywhere from 6 to 12 weeks. It is also significant that the ship was called the “Vigilante,” which someone who is operating outside of the law. We know that the slave trade was finally outlawed in Great Britain in 1833. This pamphlet was printed about 10 years prior to that. The fact that it was printed as a pamphlet in Britain and France could mean the people who built the ship wanted to publicize how one might use such a small vessel to transport slaves in a similar manner. Or, it’s possible the pamphlet was used to help fuel the abolitionist cause by showing the utter inhumanity of the slave trade.

The Atlantic slave trade, in all its horror, finally allowed Europeans to become the producers, the movers, and the consumers of goods that originated outside of Europe. In the process, it transformed European life and society by creating markets for objects and foods that were completely unknown in Europe before the sixteenth century.
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